## The NHCA WRITES



March 20. 1989

Dr. J. Donald Hillar
Director, National Institute for
Director, National Institute for
Cocupational Safety and Health
Doctor Health and Human Services
Doctor Health and Human Services
Centars for Disease Control
Atlanta GA 30333

Dear UT. THE REST. THE PROOF OF COMMENTAL PROOF OF Dear Dr. Hillar:

CONSTRUCTION

ACCORDING to an EPA report (550/9-81-101), there were some 4.6 million approximately an EPA report (550/9-81-101), there were some 4.6 million approximately and the construction industry in 1979, sockedding 85 mericans employed in the construction industry in 1979 to 400 dg(A), and Americans employed to daily average moving 12 as a common. These maskly 500.000 were can be expected noise levels well above common. These maskly into good mover can produce noise levels well above common. These maskly into good mover can produce noise induced hearing impairment unless constructions are used to noise induced hearing impairment which is the constructions are performed.

interventions are performed.

We are aware that OSHA's construction noise standars, 29 CFR 1926.52, issue hearing to see that OSHA's construction noise, to issue hearing contains a requirement for employers to control noise, to issue the contains a requirement to institute continuing, effective, that the projective discoverant of the control of the

We are also aware that the construction industry is characterized by special problems, such as intermittent exposures and a highly mobile special problems, such as intermittent exposures and a highly mobile to experience of other nations, such as Canada and Sweden, can be brought to experience of other nations, such as Canada and Sweden, can be brought on these problems. Dear on these problems.

We palieve that the exposure situation needs to be assessed, that model

We palieve that the exposure situation needs to be developed, and that educational

Rearing conservation programs need to be developed, and est and employers.

Rearing conservation programs need to construction workers and employers.

The second programs is a second programs as should be made available to construction with this regard.

This would also assist OSHA in any regulatory efforts.

According to the same EPA report (550/9-9:-101), approximately 3.5 million 23,000 or the same EPA report (550/9-9:-101), or these, about 323,000 or the same and an according to the same afformation of the same figures were exposed to daily average noise levels of 8x believe there is ere exposed to daily average above 90 dE(A). We believe there is ever exposed above 90 dE(A) situation. There is extinated 109,000 were exposed above 90 dE(A) was believed the situation of the situa Not only are these workers denied the benefits of the hearing conservation amendment, they lack coverage by any noise standard. At present, there amendment, they lack coverage by any noise standard would protect farm workers are no regulatory or educational programs that would protect farm workers are no regulatory or education them protect themselves.

Once again, the nature and extent of the proplam needs to be thoroughly and an assessed, model hearing conservation programs need to be developed, and an assessed, model hearing conservation assessed.

The National initiative undertaken.

The National Hearing Conservation Association is the only U.S. profesting the conservation of the promote the conservation of the property of the conservation of the property of the conservation of the conservation about the conservation and the conservation of the conservation of the conservation of the conservation, and through efforts to improve hearing conservation hearing conservation, and through efforts to improve hearing conservation of the conservation, and through efforts to improve the conservation of the conservation. We urge you to consider this petition seriously and promptly, and we will be happy to assist you in any way we can.

Sincerely yours

cT/bb

NCHA recently wrote to Dr. Donald Millar of NIOSH and Mr. John Pendergrass of OSHA encouraging the development of hearing conservation standards for agriculture and construction. Here we reprint our letter and OSHA's response.

U.S. Department of Labor

APR 1 8 1989

Ms. Carolyn Tolley President Fational Hearing Conservation Association 900 Des Moines Street, Suite 200 Des Moines, Iowa 50309

Dear Ms. Tolley:

This letter is in response to your March 20 petition on behalf of the National Hearing Conservation Association which requested that rulemaking be initiated on hearing conservation for the construction industry and for agriculture.

As you know, the OSHA occupational noise exposure standard for the construction industry (29 CFR 1926.52) requires that hearing protection be provided and used when employee overexposure to effective hearing conservation required to have a continuing struction inspections, OSHA is enforcin hearing conservation elements including monitoring of noise levels, training for employees on noise hazards, the use of hearing protection and, where practical, the use of addiometric testing where initial and followup tests would track the effectiveness of the hearing conservation program on individual employees.

Since the OSHA agriculture standard (29 CFR 1928) does not contain a noise exposure standard and does not reference the material conservation program does not reference the mearing conservation program does not apply to agricultural the general industry noise standard (29 CFR 1910,95), a mandatory operations. However, where agricultural noise exposures exceed compliance officers have been instructed to adult the general industry peraissible noise exposure levels, OSHA that it is good practice to provide and require the use of maring protection and to train employees in the hazings of noise exposure and in proper fit of hearing protection. Where practical audiometric testing is also encouraged.

As you stated in your letter, there are special problems associated with hearing conservation programs for construction and particularly for agriculture. Problem areas such as high employee turnover and the intermitten nature of noise exposures, conservation standard for agriculture difficult.

At this time no rulemaking related to hearing conservation for construction and agriculture is being considered for inclusion in the Regulatory Agenda for this Agency. Future action on rulemaking in this area will depend upon the regulatory culemaking actions are completed.

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

ofanc Ist

Alan C. McMillan, Acting Assistant Secretary